

# LAGRANGIAN MEAN CURVATURE FLOW IN PSEUDO-EUCLIDEAN SPACE

R.L. HUANG

ABSTRACT. We establish the longtime existence and convergence results of the mean curvature flow of entire Lagrangian graphs in Pseudo-Euclidean space which is related to Logarithmic gradient flow.

**MSC 2000:** Primary 53C44 ; Secondary 53A10.

**Keywords:** indefinite metric; self-expanding solution; Schauder estimate; Logarithmic gradient flow.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The mean curvature flow in high codimension has been studied extensively in the last few years (cf. [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6],[7]). In this paper we consider the Lagrangian mean curvature flow in Pseudo-Euclidean space .

Let  $\mathbb{R}_n^{2n}$  be an  $2n$ -dimensional Pseudo-Euclidean space with the index  $n$ . The indefinite flat metric on  $\mathbb{R}_n^{2n}$  (cf. [8]) is defined by

$$ds^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (dx^i)^2 - \sum_{\alpha=n+1}^{2n} (dx^\alpha)^2$$

Consider the logarithmic gradient flow (cf. [9]) on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ :

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{n} \ln \mathbf{det} D^2 u = 0, & t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \\ u = u_0(x), & t = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases}$$

By Proposition 2.1 there exist a family of diffeomorphisms

$$r_t : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$$

such that

$$F(x, t) = (r_t, Du(r_t, t)) \subset \mathbb{R}_n^{2n}$$

is a solution to the mean curvature flow of a complete space-like submanifold in pseudo-Euclidean space

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{dF}{dt} = \vec{H}, \\ F(x, 0) = F_0(x), \end{cases}$$

where  $\vec{H}$  is the mean curvature vector of the submanifold  $F(x, t) \subset \mathbb{R}_n^{2n}$  at  $F(x, t)$  with

$$F_0(x) = (x, Du_0(x)).$$

**Definition 1.1.** Assume function  $u_0(x) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . We call  $u_0(x)$  satisfying

(i) **Condition A** if

$$u_0(x) = \frac{u_0(Rx)}{R^2}, \quad \forall R > 0.$$

(ii) **Condition B** if

$$\Lambda I \geq D^2 u_0(x) \geq \lambda I, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where  $\Lambda, \lambda$  are positive constants and  $I$  is the identity matrix.

We now state the main theorems of this paper.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let  $u_0 : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a  $C^2$  function which satisfies Condition B. Then there exists a unique strictly convex solution of (1.1) such that

$$(1.3) \quad u(x, t) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, +\infty)) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, +\infty))$$

where  $u(\cdot, t)$  satisfies Condition B. More generally, for  $l = \{3, 4, 5 \dots\}$  and  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ , there holds

$$(1.4) \quad \|D^l u(\cdot, t)\|_{C(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \leq C, \quad \forall t \in (\varepsilon_0, +\infty),$$

where  $C$  depends only on  $n, \lambda, \Lambda, \frac{1}{\varepsilon_0}$ .

Consider the following Monge-Ampère type equation

$$(1.5) \quad \det D^2 u = \exp\left\{n\left(u - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}\right)\right\}.$$

According to the definition in [10], we can show that an entire solution to (1.5) is a self-expanding solutions to Lagrangian mean curvature flow in Pseudo-Euclidean space.

**Theorem 1.3.** There exists a one-to-one correspondence between smooth self-expanding solutions satisfying condition B and functions satisfying Condition A and Condition B.

The following theorem shows that we can obtain the self-expanding solution by the Logarithmic gradient flow.

**Theorem 1.4.** Let  $u_0 : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a  $C^2$  function which satisfies Condition B. Assume that

$$(1.6) \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \tau^{-2} u_0(\tau x) = U_0(x)$$

for some  $U_0(x) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Let  $u(x, t)$  and  $U(x, t)$  be solutions to (1.1) with initial data  $u_0(x)$  and  $U_0(x)$  respectively. Then,

$$(1.7) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} t^{-1} u(\sqrt{t}x, t) = U(x, 1).$$

Here the convergence is uniform and smooth in any compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $U(x, 1)$  is a self-expanding solution of (1.2).

To describe the asymptotic behavior of the Lagrangian mean curvature flow (1.2), we will prove

**Theorem 1.5.** *Suppose that  $u_0 : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a  $C^2$  function which satisfies Condition B and  $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |Du_0(x)|^2 < +\infty$ . Then the evolution equations of mean curvature flow (1.2) has a longtime smooth solution and the graph  $(x, Du(x, t))$  converges to a plane in  $\mathbb{R}_n^{2n}$  as  $t$  goes to infinity. If we assume in addition that  $|Du_0(x)| \rightarrow 0$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ , then the graph  $(x, Du(x, t))$  converges smoothly on compact sets to the coordinate plane  $(x, 0)$  in  $\mathbb{R}_n^{2n}$ .*

## 2. LOGARITHMIC GRADIENT FLOW RELATED TO LAGRANGIAN MEAN CURVATURE FLOW

Let  $(x^1, \dots, x^n; y^1, \dots, y^n)$  be null coordinates in  $\mathbb{R}_n^{2n}$ . Then the indefinite metric (cf. [8]) is defined by

$$(2.1) \quad ds^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n dx^i dy^i$$

Suppose  $u$  be a smooth convex function. We consider the graph  $M$  of  $\nabla u$ , defined by

$$(x^1, \dots, x^n; \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^1}, \dots, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^n}).$$

The induce Rimannian metric on  $M$  is defined by

$$ds^2 = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} dx^i dx^j.$$

Choose a tangent frame field  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  along  $M$ , where

$$e_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j}.$$

We use  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  to denote the inner product induced from (2.1). Then

$$\langle e_i, e_j \rangle = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^i \partial x^j}.$$

Let  $\{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n\}$  be the normal frame field of  $M$  in  $\mathbb{R}_n^{2n}$  defined by

$$\eta_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j}$$

with

$$\langle \eta_i, \eta_j \rangle = -\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^i \partial x^j}.$$

The mean curvature vector of  $M$  is given by

$$\vec{H} = -\frac{1}{2ng} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^l} g^{lk} \eta_k,$$

where  $g = \det D^2 u$ .

If  $u(x, t) \in C^{3, \frac{3}{2}}$ ,  $u$  is strictly convex function in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and

$$F(x(t), t) = (x^1, \dots, x^n; \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^1}, \dots, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^n})$$

satisfies (1.2). Then

$$\frac{dx^i}{dt} = -\frac{1}{2ng} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^l} g^{li}, \quad \frac{du_j}{dt} = \frac{1}{2ng} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^l} g^{lk} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^k \partial x^j}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

where  $u_j = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^j}$ ,  $[g_{ij}] = D^2u$ ,  $[g^{ij}] = [g_{ij}]^{-1}$ . However,

$$\frac{du_j}{dt} = \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x^k} \frac{dx^k}{dt}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

So that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial t} &= \frac{1}{2ng} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^l} g^{lk} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^k \partial x^j} + \frac{1}{2ng} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^l} g^{lk} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^k \partial x^j} \\ &= \frac{1}{ng} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^l} g^{lk} g_{kj} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \ln g, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $u(x, t)$  satisfies (1.1).

Conversely, if  $u(x, t) \in C^{2,1}$  and  $u$  is strictly convex function in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then we define in the obvious way

$$\tilde{F}(x, t) = (x^1, \dots, x^n; \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^1}, \dots, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^n}).$$

Let  $r : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be the solution of the following system of ordinary differential equations:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dx^i}{dt} = -\frac{1}{2ng} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^l} g^{li}, & i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \\ x^i(0) = x^i, & i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \end{cases}$$

Then  $r_t$  be a family of diffeomorphisms  $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $F(x, t) = \tilde{F}(r(x, t), t)$  be the solution of (1.2).

In summary by the regularity theory of parabolic equation we have the following result:

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $u_0 : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a strictly convex  $C^2$  function. Then (1.1) has a strictly convex smooth solution on  $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T)$  with initial condition  $u(x, 0) = u_0(x)$  if and only if (1.2) has a smooth solution  $F(x, t)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T)$  with strictly convex potential and with initial condition  $F(x, 0) = (x, \nabla u_0(x))$ . In particular, there exists a smooth family of diffeomorphisms  $r(x, t) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  for  $t \in [0, T)$  such that  $F(x, t) = (r(x, t), \nabla u(r(x, t), t))$  solves (1.2) on  $\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T)$ .*

A solution  $F(\cdot, t)$  of (1.2) is called self-expanding if it has the form

$$(2.2) \quad M_t = \sqrt{t} M_1 \quad \text{for all } t > 0,$$

where  $M_t = F(\cdot, t)$ .

Assume that  $F(x, t)$  is a self-expanding solution of (1.2). Following Proposition 2.1,  $u(x, t)$  satisfies

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{n} \ln \det D^2 u = 0, \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Hence,

$$D(u(x, t) - tu(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}, 1)) = 0,$$

i.e

$$(2.4) \quad u(x, t) = tu(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}, 1), \quad t > 0.$$

Thus combining (2.6), (2.4) and letting  $t = 1$ , we can verify that  $u(x, 1)$  satisfies (1.5).

We want to use the continue methods to prove the solvability of (1.1).

**Definition 2.1.** *Given  $T > 0$ . Let  $\tau \in [0, 1]$ . We say  $u \in C^{5, \frac{5}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T)) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T])$  is a solution of  $(\star_\tau)$  if  $u$  satisfies*

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \frac{\tau}{n} \ln \det D^2 u - (1 - \tau) \Delta u = 0, & t > 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \\ u = u_0(x), & t = 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases}$$

Set

$$u_0(x, t) = \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_0(y) \exp\left[-\frac{|x - y|^2}{4t}\right] dy.$$

Clearly  $u_0(x, t)$  is a solution of (2.5) with  $\tau = 0$ . Let

$$I = \{\tau \in [0, 1] : (\star_\tau) \text{ has a solution}\}.$$

The long time existence of the flow (1.2) holds if we can show that  $I$  is both closed and open. To prove that the classical solution of (1.1) must be strictly convex we need the following conclusion which is proved by Pierre-Louis Lions, Marek Musiela (cf. Theorem 3.1 in [11]).

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $u : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a solution of a fully nonlinear equations of the form*

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = F(D^2 u)$$

*where  $F$  is a  $C^2$  function defined on the cone  $\Gamma_+$  of definite symmetric matrices, which is monotone increasing (that is,  $F(A) \leq F(A+B)$  whenever  $B$  is a positive definite matrix), and such that the function*

$$F^*(A) = -F(A^{-1})$$

*is concave on  $\Gamma_+$ . If  $D^2 u \geq 0$  everywhere on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for  $t = 0$ . Then  $D^2 u \geq 0$  everywhere on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for  $0 \leq t \leq T$ .*

From Lemma 2.2, we obtain

**Corollary 2.3.** *Suppose that  $u : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a solution of a fully nonlinear equations of the form*

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = F(D^2 u)$$

*where  $F$  satisfies the conditions in Lemma 2.2 and  $F$  is concave on the cone  $\Gamma_+$ . If  $\lambda I \leq D^2 u \leq \Lambda I$  (for some  $0 < \lambda < \Lambda$ ) everywhere on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for  $t = 0$ . Then  $\lambda I \leq D^2 u \leq \Lambda I$  everywhere on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for  $0 \leq t \leq T$ .*

*Proof.* Step 1. We will prove that  $D^2 u \geq \lambda I$  everywhere on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for  $0 \leq t \leq T$ .

Set  $\bar{u} = u - \frac{\lambda}{2}|x|^2$ . Then  $\bar{u}$  satisfies

$$\frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial t} = F(D^2 \bar{u} + \lambda I)$$

with  $D^2 \bar{u}|_{t=0} \geq 0$ . Define

$$\bar{F}(D^2 \bar{u}) = F(D^2 \bar{u} + \lambda I),$$

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{F}^*(A) &= -F(A^{-1} + \lambda I), \\ \bar{F}^*(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) &= -F(\lambda_1^{-1} + \lambda, \lambda_2^{-1} + \lambda, \dots, \lambda_n^{-1} + \lambda), \\ \Sigma &= \{\lambda_1 > 0, \lambda_1 > 0, \dots, \lambda_1 > 0\}.\end{aligned}$$

It follows from [12] that  $\bar{F}^*(A)$  is concave on  $\Gamma_+$  if and only if  $\bar{F}^*(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$  is concave on  $\Sigma$ . Note that for all  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\frac{\partial^2 \bar{F}^*}{\partial \lambda_i \partial \lambda_i} \xi_i \xi_j = -\bar{F}_{ij} \bar{\xi}_i \bar{\xi}_j - 2\bar{F}_i \lambda_i \bar{\xi}_i^2$$

where  $\bar{\xi}_i = \frac{\xi_i}{\lambda_i^2}$ . Since  $F^*(A) = -F(A^{-1})$  is concave on  $\Gamma_+$ , we have

$$-\bar{F}_{ij} \bar{\xi}_i \bar{\xi}_j|_{\lambda=0} - 2\bar{F}_i \lambda_i \bar{\xi}_i^2|_{\lambda=0} \leq 0.$$

So that

$$-\bar{F}_{ij} \bar{\xi}_i \bar{\xi}_j \leq 2\bar{F}_i \frac{\lambda_i}{1 + \lambda \lambda_i} \bar{\xi}_i^2,$$

Clearly,

$$\frac{\partial^2 \bar{F}^*}{\partial \lambda_i \partial \lambda_i} \xi_i \xi_j = -\bar{F}_{ij} \bar{\xi}_i \bar{\xi}_j - 2\bar{F}_i \lambda_i \bar{\xi}_i^2 \leq 2\bar{F}_i \frac{\lambda_i}{1 + \lambda \lambda_i} \bar{\xi}_i^2 - 2\bar{F}_i \lambda_i \bar{\xi}_i^2 \leq 0.$$

Such that one can apply Lemma 2.2 that  $D^2 \bar{u} \geq 0$  for  $0 \leq t \leq T$ .

Step 2. We will prove that  $D^2 u \leq \Lambda I$  everywhere on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for  $0 \leq t \leq T$ .

Introduce the Legendre transformation of  $u$

$$\tau = t, \quad y^i = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^i}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad u^*(y^1, \dots, y^n) := \sum_{i=1}^n x^i \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^i} - u(x).$$

In terms of  $\tau, y^1, \dots, y^n, u^*(y^1, \dots, y^n, \tau)$ , one can easily check that

$$\frac{\partial u^*}{\partial \tau} = -\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, \quad \frac{\partial^2 u^*}{\partial y^i \partial y^j} = \left[ \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} \right]^{-1}.$$

Then  $u^*$  is a solution of the form

$$\frac{\partial u^*}{\partial \tau} = F^*(D^2 u^*).$$

Since  $F^{**} = F$  is concave on the cone  $\Gamma_+$ , using the conclusions of step 1 we obtain  $D^2 u^* \geq \frac{1}{\Lambda} I$  for  $0 \leq t \leq T$  and this yields our desired results.  $\square$

For the problem (2.5) we have

**Lemma 2.4.** *I is closed.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $u$  is a solution of  $(\star_\tau)$ . For  $A \in \Gamma_+$ , set

$$F(A) = \frac{\tau}{n} \ln \det A + (1 - \tau) \text{Tr} A.$$

Let  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$  be the eigenvalues of  $A$ . Define

$$f(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) = F(A) = \frac{\tau}{n} \ln \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \cdots \lambda_n + (1 - \tau)(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \cdots + \lambda_n)$$

and

$$f^*(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) = F^*(A) = \frac{\tau}{n} \ln \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \cdots \lambda_n - (1 - \tau)\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_1} + \frac{1}{\lambda_2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{\lambda_n}\right).$$

One can verify that  $D^2f, D^2f^*$  are negative in a cone  $\Sigma = \{\lambda_1 > 0, \lambda_1 > 0, \dots, \lambda_1 > 0\}$ . By [12], we deduce that  $F, F^*$  are smooth concave functions defined on the cone  $\Gamma_+$  of definite symmetric matrix matrices, which is monotone increasing.

It follows from Corollary 2.3 that if  $u_0(x)$  satisfies Condition B then  $u(x, t)$  does so. For  $s > 0, \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  define

$$\Omega_T = \Omega \times [0, T), \quad \Omega_{T,s} = \Omega \times [s, T).$$

Furthermore by the regularity theory of parabolic equation (cf. [13]) we have

$$(2.6) \quad \|u\|_{C^{2,1}(\bar{\Omega}_T)} \leq C_1, \quad \|u\|_{C^{2+\alpha, \frac{2+\alpha}{2}}(\bar{\Omega}_{T,s})} \leq C_2,$$

where  $0 < \alpha < 1$ ,  $C_1$  is a positive constant depending only on  $u_0, \Omega, T$ , and  $C_2$  relies on  $\lambda, \Lambda, \Omega, T, \frac{1}{s}$ . By (2.6), a diagonal sequence argument and the regularity theory of parabolic equation shows that I is closed.  $\square$

To prove that I is open we need the following lemma (cf. Theorem 17.6 in [14]).

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2$  and  $\mathbf{X}$  be Banach spaces and  $G$  is a mapping from an open subset of  $\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathbf{X}$  into  $\mathcal{B}_2$ . Let  $(u_0, \tau_0)$  be a point in  $\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathbf{X}$  satisfying:*

- (i)  $G[u_0, \tau_0] = 0$ ;
- (ii)  $G$  is continuously differentiable at  $(u_0, \tau_0)$ ;
- (iii) the partial Fréchet derivative  $L = G_{(u_0, \tau_0)}^1$  is invertible.

*Then there exists a neighbourhood  $\mathcal{N}$  of  $\tau_0$  in  $\mathbf{X}$  such that the equation  $G[u, \tau] = 0$ , is solvable for each  $\tau \in \mathcal{N}$ , with solution  $u = u_\tau \in \mathcal{B}_1$ .*

Based on the implicit function theorem we have the following conclusions.

**Lemma 2.6.** *I is open.*

*Proof.* Define the Banach spaces

$$\mathcal{B}_1 = C^{5, \frac{5}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T)) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T)), \quad \mathbf{X} = \mathbb{R},$$

$$\mathcal{B}_2 = C^{3, \frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T)) \times C(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

and a continuously differentiable map from  $\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathbf{X}$  into  $\mathcal{B}_2$ ,

$$G : (u, \tau) \rightarrow \left[ \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \frac{\tau}{n} \ln \det D^2 u - (1 - \tau) \Delta u, u - u_0 \right].$$

Take an open set of  $\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathbf{X}$ :

$$\Theta = \{u \mid \frac{\lambda}{2} I < D^2 u(x, t) < \frac{3\Lambda}{2} I, \quad u \in C^{5, \frac{5}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T)) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T))\} \times (0, 1).$$

Suppose that  $(u_0, \tau_0) \in \Theta$ . Then the partial Fréchet derivative  $L = G_{(u_0, \tau_0)}^1$  is invertible if and only if the following cauchy problem is solvable

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} - \frac{\tau_0}{n} u_0^{ij} \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} - (1 - \tau_0) \Delta w = f, & t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \\ w = g, & t = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases}$$

where  $(f, g) \in \mathcal{B}_2$ . Using the linear parabolic equations theory (cf. [13]) we can do it.

Thereby applying Lemma 2.5 we prove that I is open.  $\square$

Given  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\kappa > 0$ , define

$$Q_{1,x_0} = \{x \mid |x - x_0| \leq 1\} \times [\kappa, \kappa + 1), \quad Q_{\frac{1}{2},x_0} = \{x \mid |x - x_0| \leq \frac{1}{2}\} \times [\kappa + \frac{1}{4}, \kappa + \frac{1}{2}),$$

$$Q_{\frac{1}{3},x_0} = \{x \mid |x - x_0| \leq \frac{1}{3}\} \times [\kappa + \frac{1}{3}, \kappa + \frac{5}{12}), \quad B_{1,x_0} = \{|x - x_0| \leq 1\}.$$

The following two lemmas which will be mentioned below may be used repeatedly (cf. [13]).

**Lemma 2.7. (Theorem 14.7 in [13]).** *Let  $u : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a classical solution of a fully nonlinear equation of the form*

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - F(D^2 u) = 0, & t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \\ u = u_0(x), & t = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases}$$

where  $F$  is a  $C^2$  concave function defined on the cone  $\Gamma_+$  of definite symmetric matrix matrices, which is monotone increasing with

$$\lambda I \leq \frac{\partial F}{\partial r_{ij}} \leq \Lambda I.$$

Then there existence  $0 < \alpha < 1$  such that

$$[D^2 u]_{C^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2}}(\bar{Q}_{\frac{1}{2}, x_0})} \leq C |D^2 u|_{C^0(\bar{Q}_{1, x_0})},$$

where  $\alpha, C$  are positive constants depending only on  $n, \lambda, \Lambda, \frac{1}{\kappa}$ .

**Lemma 2.8. (Theorem 4.9 in [13]).** *Let  $v : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a classical solution of a linear parabolic equation of the form*

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - a^{ij} v_{ij} = 0, & t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \\ v = v_0(x), & t = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases}$$

where there exist positive constants  $C$  such that

$$\lambda I \leq a^{ij} \leq \Lambda I, \quad [a^{ij}]_{C^{\alpha}(\bar{Q}_{\frac{1}{2}, x_0})} \leq C.$$

Then there holds

$$|D^2 v|_{C^0(\bar{Q}_{\frac{1}{3}, x_0})} + [D^2 v]_{C^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2}}(\bar{Q}_{\frac{1}{3}, x_0})} \leq C_3 |v_0|_{C^0(\bar{B}_{1, x_0})},$$

where  $C_3$  are positive constants depending only on  $n, \lambda, \Lambda$  and  $C, \frac{1}{\kappa}$ .

### Proof of Theorem 1.2:

Using the linear parabolic equations theory (cf. [13]) and combining Lemma 2.4 with Lemma 2.6 we conclude that there exists a unique strictly convex solution of (1.1) satisfying (1.3) and  $u(\cdot, t)$  satisfies Condition B.

By Lemma 2.7 we get

$$[D^2 u]_{C^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2}}(\bar{Q}_{\frac{1}{2}, x_0})} \leq C,$$

where  $C$  are positive constants depending only on  $n, \lambda, \Lambda$  and  $\frac{1}{\kappa}$ .



For  $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , set  $v = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_m}$ . Then  $v$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{n} u^{ij} v_{ij} = 0, & t > 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \\ v = v_0(x), & t = 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases}$$

Such that by Lemma 2.8 we have

$$(2.7) \quad |D^3 u|_{C^0(\bar{Q}_{\frac{1}{3}, x_0})} \leq C |Du_0|_{C^0(\bar{B}_{1, x_0})}.$$

Let

$$\tilde{v}(x, t) = v - \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x^m}(x_0).$$

It is easy to see that  $\tilde{v}$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{n} u^{ij} \tilde{v}_{ij} = 0, & t > 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \\ \tilde{v} = \tilde{v}(x, 0), & t = 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases}$$

Then by (2.7) and the mean value theorem we arrive at

$$|D^3 u|_{C^0(\bar{Q}_{\frac{1}{3}, x_0})} \leq C |Du_0 - Du_0(x_0)|_{C^0(\bar{B}_{1, x_0})} \leq C.$$

Using the similar methods we obtain (1.4) for  $l = \{3, 4, 5 \dots\}$ .  $\square$

### Proof of Theorem 1.3:

The main idea comes from [15] and we present here for completeness.

Case 1. If  $u_0$  satisfies Condition A and B. Then by Theorem 1.2, there exists a unique smooth solution  $u(x, t)$  to (1.1) for all  $t > 0$  with initial data  $u_0$ . One can verify that

$$u_R(x, t) := R^{-2} u(Rx, R^2 t)$$

is a solution to (1.1) with initial data

$$u_R(x, 0) := R^{-2} u_0(Rx) = u_0(x).$$

Here we have used that  $u_0$  satisfies Condition A. Since  $u_R(x, 0) = u_0$ , the uniqueness result in Theorem 1.2 implies

$$u(x, t) = u_R(x, t)$$

for any  $R > 0$ . Therefore  $u(x, t)$  satisfies (2.4), and hence  $u(x, 1)$  solves (1.5). In other words,  $u(x, 1)$  is a smooth self-expanding solution.

Case 2. If  $v$  is a smooth solution to (1.5) satisfying Condition B. Define  $u(x, t)$  for  $t > 0$  by

$$u(x, t) = tv\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}\right).$$

By the definition of  $v$  we conclude that  $u(x, t)$  satisfies the evolution equation (1.1). Now we claim that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} u(x, t)$  exists. To see this, note that  $u(0, t) = tv(0)$  for  $t > 0$ , so

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} u(0, t) = 0.$$

Moreover, it is clear that

$$Du(0, t) = \sqrt{t} Dv(0),$$

Since

$$(2.8) \quad D^2 u(x, t) = D_x^2 (tv(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t}})) = D^2 v(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}),$$

we get for any  $t > 0$ ,

$$\lambda I \leq D^2 u(x, t) \leq \Lambda I, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Using the medium theorem twice, we have

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, t) &= u(x, t) - u(0, t) + u(0, t) \\ &= \langle Du(\xi, t), x \rangle + u(0, t) \\ &= \langle Du(\xi, t) - Du(0, t), x \rangle + \langle Du(0, t), x \rangle + u(0, t) \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n \zeta_i u_{ij} x_j + \langle Du(0, t), x \rangle + u(0, t). \end{aligned}$$

Applying Caffarelli's regularity theory of Monge-Ampère type equation ( cf. [16], [17] ) and interior Schauder estimates to the equation (1.5), we may then conclude that, for any sequence  $t_i \rightarrow 0$ , there is a subsequence  $t_{k_i}$ , such that  $u(x, t_{k_i})$  converges in  $C^{2,\alpha}$  uniformly in compact subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for any  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . This limit is in fact independent of the choice of the subsequence  $\{t_{k_i}\}$ . Indeed, let  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  be two such limits for subsequences  $\{t_i\}$  and  $\{t'_i\}$  respectively. Since  $u(x, t)$  is a solution to (1.1),  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$  is uniformly bounded for any  $t > 0$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Thus for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  we may have

$$|u(x, t_i) - u(x, t'_i)| \leq C|t_i - t'_i|$$

for some constant  $C$  independent of  $i$ . Letting  $i \rightarrow \infty$ , we conclude that  $u_1(x) = u_2(x)$ . So for different sequences  $\{t_i\}$  converging to 0, the limit is unique. Let

$$u_0(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} u(x, t).$$

Then it follows from (2.8) that  $u_0$  satisfies Condition B. Further,

$$\frac{1}{R^2} u_0(Rx) = \frac{1}{R^2} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t v\left(\frac{Rx}{\sqrt{t}}\right) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{\sqrt{t}}{R}\right)^2 v\left(\frac{Rx}{\sqrt{t}}\right) = u_0(x).$$

Therefore  $u_0(x)$  satisfies Condition A.

From the above two cases we see that Theorem 1.3 is established.  $\square$

We present here the proof of Theorem 1.4 by the methods of [15].

**Proof of Theorem 1.4:**

Assume that

$$U_0(x) = \lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} R^{-2} u_0(Rx).$$

So  $U(x, 0)$  satisfies Condition B. As in the proof of Theorem 1.3, we obtain

$$U_0(x) = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} R^{-2} u_0(Rx) = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} R^{-2} l^{-2} u_0(Rlx) = l^{-2} U_0(lx).$$

This implies that  $U_0(x)$  satisfies condition A. Thus by Theorem 1.3, we conclude that  $U(x, 1)$  is a self-expanding solution.

Define

$$u_R(x, t) := R^{-2} u(Rx, R^2 t).$$

It is clear that  $u_R(x, t)$  is a solution to (1.1) with initial data  $u_R(x, 0) = R^{-2} u_0(Rx)$  satisfying Condition B. For any sequence  $R_i \rightarrow +\infty$ , consider the limitation of  $u_{R_i}(x, t)$ . For  $t > 0$ , there holds

$$D^2 u_{R_i}(x, t) = D^2 u(R_i x, R_i^2 t),$$

Using Theorem 1.2, we have

$$\lambda I \leq D^2 u_{R_i}(x, t) \leq \Lambda I$$

for all  $x$  and  $t > 0$ . Moreover, according to (1.4) in Theorem 1.2, there holds

$$\| D^l u_{R_i}(\cdot, t) \|_{C(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \leq C, \quad \forall t \geq \varepsilon_0, \quad l = \{3, 4, 5, \dots\}.$$

For any  $m \geq 1, l \geq 0$ , using Schauder estimates there exists constant  $C$  such that

$$\| \frac{\partial^m}{\partial t^m} D^l u_{R_i} \|_{C(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \leq C, \quad \forall t \geq \varepsilon_0, \quad l = \{3, 4, 5, \dots\}.$$

We observe that

$$u_{R_i} = R_i^{-2} u_0$$

and

$$Du_{R_i}(0, 0) = R_i^{-1} Du_0(0).$$

are both bounded, thus  $u_{R_i}(0, t)$  and  $Du_{R_i}(0, t)$  are uniformly bounded to  $i$  for any fixed  $t$ . By Arzelà-Ascoli theorem, there exists a subsequence  $\{R_{k_i}\}$  such that  $u_{R_{k_i}}(x, t)$  converges uniformly to a solution  $\hat{U}(x, t)$  of (1.6) in compact subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty)$  and  $\hat{U}(x, t)$  satisfies the estimates in Theorem 1.2. Since  $\frac{\partial \hat{U}}{\partial t}$  is uniformly bounded for any  $t > 0$ ,  $\hat{U}(x, t)$  converges to some function  $\hat{U}_0(x)$  when  $t \rightarrow 0$ . One can verify that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{U}_0(x) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \hat{U}(x, t) \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} R_i^{-2} u(R_i x, R_i^2 t) \\ &= \lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} R_i^{-2} u(R_i x, R_i^2 t) \\ &= \lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} R_i^{-2} u_0(R_i x) \\ &= U_0(x). \end{aligned}$$

By the uniqueness result, the above limit is independent of the choice of the subsequence  $\{R_i\}$  and

$$\hat{U}(x, t) = U(x, t).$$

So, letting  $R = \sqrt{t}$ , we have  $t^{-1} u(\sqrt{t}x, t) = u_{\sqrt{t}}(x, 1)$  converging to  $U(x, 1)$  uniformly in compact subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  when  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Theorem 1.3 is established.  $\square$

At the end of this section, we present the following Bernstein theorem for the equation (1.5).

**Proposition 2.9.** *Let*

$$w = u - \frac{1}{2} \langle x, Du \rangle.$$

*If  $u$  is a  $C^2$  strictly convex solution of (1.5) and  $w$  can take its maximum or minimum at some point  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $|x| < +\infty$ . Then  $u$  must be a quadratic polynomial.*

*Proof.* It follows from Caffarelli's regularity theory of Monge-Ampère type equation and interior Schauder estimates that  $u$  is a smooth strictly convex solution. From (1.5)  $w$  satisfies

$$u^{ij} w_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \langle x, Dw \rangle.$$

Since  $w$  can take its maximum or minimum at some point  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $|x| < +\infty$ , for every  $R > 0$ , by strong maximum principle (cf. [18]), we deduce that  $w$  must be constants in  $B_R(x)$ . Then  $w$  must be constants in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Using Pogorelov's Theorem [19], we show that  $u$  must be a quadratic polynomial.  $\square$

### 3. LONGTIME EXISTENCE AND CONVERGENCE

As in [20], we also can show that a bound on the height of the graphs is preserved along (1.1).

**Lemma 3.1.** *If  $u(x, t)$  is a smooth solution to (1.1). Then*

$$(3.1) \quad \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |Du(x, t)|^2 \leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |Du_0(x)|^2$$

*Proof.* By (1.1) we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} |Du(x, t)|^2 - \frac{1}{n} u^{ij} (|Du(x, t)|^2)_{ij} = -\frac{2}{n} u^{pq} u_{pi} u_{qi} \leq 0.$$

Using Lemma 4.2 in [7] and Schauder estimates we obtain the desired results.  $\square$

To obtain the convergence of the flow (1.2), we introduce the following decay estimates of the high order derivatives according to the solution of (1.1) based on Theorem 1.2 (cf. [21]).

**Proposition 3.2.** *Assume that  $u(x, t)$  is a strictly convex solution of (1.1) which satisfies (1.3) and  $u(\cdot, t)$  satisfies Condition A. Then there exist constant  $C$  only depending on  $n, \lambda, \Lambda, \frac{1}{\varepsilon_0}$  such that*

$$(3.2) \quad |D^3 u(\cdot, t)|_{C(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \leq \frac{C}{t}, \quad \forall t \geq \varepsilon_0.$$

More generally, for all  $l = \{3, 4, 5 \dots\}$  there holds

$$(3.3) \quad \|D^l u(\cdot, t)\|_{C(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \leq \frac{C}{t^{l-2}}, \quad \forall t \geq \varepsilon_0.$$

#### Proof of Theorem 1.5:

By Theorem 1.2 and Proposition 2.1, (1.2) has a longtime smooth solution.

Using (3.3) and (3.1), a diagonal sequence argument shows that as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $Du(x, t)$  converges subsequentially and uniformly on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to a smooth function  $Du_\infty$  with

$$\forall y \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad |D_y^l u_\infty| = 0$$

for  $l \geq 3$ . So  $Du_\infty$  must be an affine linear function. Hence  $(x, Du_\infty(x))$  has to be affine linear subspace. It shows that the graph of the mean curvature flow (1.2) converges to a plane in  $\mathbb{R}_n^{2n}$ .

As the proof of Theorem 1.1 in [20], if  $|Du_0(x)| \rightarrow 0$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ , then the graph  $(x, Du(x, t))$  converges smoothly on compact sets to the coordinate plane  $(x, 0)$  in  $\mathbb{R}_n^{2n}$ .  $\square$

**Acknowledgment.** The author wishes to express his sincere gratitude to Professor Y.L. Xin for his valuable suggestions and comments.

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Neves, *Singularities of Lagrangian mean curvature flow: zero-Maslov class case*, Invent. Math, **168** (2007), 449-484.
- [2] J.Y. Chen and J.Y. Li, *Mean curvature flow of surface in 4-manifolds*, Adv. Math, **163** (2001), 287-309.
- [3] J.Y. Chen and J.Y. Li, *Singularity of mean curvature flow of Lagrangian submanifolds*, Invent. Math, **156** (2004), 25-51.
- [4] K. Smoczyk, *Longtime existence of the Lagrangian mean curvature flow*, Calc.Var.PDE, **20** (2004), 25-46.
- [5] K. Smoczyk and M.T. Wang, *Mean curvature flows of Lagrangian submanifolds with convex potentials*, J. Diff Geom, **62**(2002), 243-257.
- [6] Y.L. Xin, *Mean curvature flow with convex Gauss image*, Chinese Annals of Mathematics - Series B, **2** (2008), 121-134.
- [7] Y.L. Xin, *Mean curvature flow with bounded Gauss image*, preprint.
- [8] Y.L. Xin, *Minimal submanifolds and related topics*, World Scientific Publ, (2003).
- [9] K.S. Tso, *On a real Monge-Ampere functional*, Invent. math **101**, (1990), 425-448.
- [10] T.H. Colding, W.P. Minicozzi, *Generic mean curvature flow I; generic singularities*. arXiv: 0908.3788.
- [11] P.L. Lions and M. Musiela, *Convexity of solutions of parabolic equations*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I, **342** (2006), 915-921.
- [12] L. Caffarelli, L. Nirenberg, J. Spruck, *The Dirichlet problem for nonlinear second order elliptic equations, III: Functions of the eigenvalues of the Hessian* Acta Math, **155**(1985), 261-301.
- [13] G.M. Lieberman, *Second order parabolic differential equations*, World Scientific, 1996.
- [14] D. Gilbarg and N. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, Second edition, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 224, Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1998.
- [15] A. Chau, J.Y. Chen, W.Y. He, *Entire self-similar solutions to Lagrangian Mean curvature flow*. arXiv: 0905.3869.
- [16] L. Caffarelli, *Interior  $W^{2,1}$  estimates for solutions of the Monge-Ampère equation*. Ann. Math. (2)**131** (1990), 135-150.
- [17] L. Caffarelli, *A localization property of viscosity solutions to the Monge-Ampère equation and their strict convexity*. Ann. Math. (2)**131** (1990), no.1, 129-134.
- [18] M.H. Protter and H.F. Weinberger, *Maximum Principle in Differential Equations*. Prentice Hall, 1967.
- [19] A.V. Pogorelov, *On the improper convex affine hyperspheres*. Geom Dedi. **1** (1972), 33-46.
- [20] A. Chau, J.Y. Chen, W.Y. He, *Lagrangian Mean Curvature flow for entire Lipschitz graphs*, arXiv: 0902.3300.
- [21] R.L. Huang and Z.Z. Wang, *On the entire self-shrinking solutions to Lagrangian Mean Curvature Flow*, preprint.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, FUDAN UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200433, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA, E-MAIL: HUANGRONGLIJANE@YAHOO.CN